| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.               | Applicant(s)               |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
|  | 09/497,992                    | MALLER, STEVEN T.          |
|  | Examiner                      | Art Unit                   |
|  | Kristie Shingles              | 2141                       |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWED</u>  |                               |                            |
| (1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.  | (3)                           |                            |
| (2) Lance Sadler, Atty.No.38,605.  | (4)                           |                            |
| Date of Interview: 21 December 2005  | Time: <u>4:15pm</u>           |                            |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   |                               |                            |
| Part I.  |                               |                            |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a  |                               |                            |
| Claims discussed:<br>43  |                               |                            |
| Prior art documents discussed:  Pollack et al (US 6,546,390)   |                               |                            |
| Part II.   |                               |                            |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:<br>See Continuation Sheet  |                               |                            |
| Part III.  |                               |                            |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                               |                            |
|  |                               |                            |
|  |                               |                            |
|  |                               |                            |
|  |                               |                            |
|  |                               |                            |
| Krust all Shinh  |                               |                            |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant  | /Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |

Application No. 09/497,992

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner confirmed the distinction between the cited prior art of record Pollack et al and independent claim 43, and suggested modifications to the claim language of independent claim 43 for clarity of scope; specifically deleting "either (a)" from line 9 and replacing "or (b)" from line 10 with "and". Applicant consented to Examiner's amendments to the claim language.